

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/659,520	PHILYAW, JEFFRY JOVAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen. (3) _____

(2) Gregory M. Howison (Reg. No. 30,646). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, 14 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Under the principle of compact prosecution, a telephone interview with the applicant's representative, Gregory M. Howison (Reg. No. 30,646) was initiated by the examiner on December 17, 2007. Applicant is urged to rewrite the claim limitations based on his/her expertise so long as the claim 10 subject matter is included in all independent claims and resolving the double patenting rejection on November 30, 2005 by filling the Terminal Disclaimer. The proposed amendment and Terminal Disclaimer will accelerate prosecution by placing the application in condition for allowance.